

DCP 392 Working Group Meeting 15

24 August 2022 at 14:00 - Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Peter Turner [PT]	NPg
Kyle Smith [KS]	WPD
Edda Dirks [ED]	SSE Generation
Code Administrator	
John Lawton [JL] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Hannah Proffitt [HP] (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink
Apologies	
Vanessa Buxton [VB]	WPD
Daniel Mellis [DM]	SSE
Charles Deacon [CD]	Renewable Connections

1. Administration

- 1.1 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting.
- 1.2 The Chair presented the minutes of the previous meeting to the Working Group and advised that no comments had been received ahead of the meeting.
- 1.3 The Working Group noted the items on the actions list from the last meeting. Updates on all actions are provided in **Appendix A**.
- 1.4 ED noted that an action was missing in the previous minutes regarding reviewing the responses to the Request for Information (RFI). ED also asked that a note was added to the previous minutes to indicate that action 14/06 was carried over from Working Group 13.
- 1.5 The Secretariat agreed to make these amendments. An updated version can be found within **Attachment 1**.

15/01: Secretariat to update the Working Group 14 Minutes, to include a missing action regarding reviewing the RFI responses and to clarify that action 14/06 was taken at Working Group meeting 13.

2. Purpose of the Meeting

- 2.1 The Chair set out that the purpose of the meeting was to finalise the Legal Text and the Draft Change Report.

3. Discuss Legal Text Feedback

- 3.1 The Secretariat presented the Legal Text to the group and ran through the comments provided by Gowlings. An updated version can be found as **Attachment 3**. A summary of discussions are below.

- Section 2 – Gowing’s comment noted that this was added to reflect paragraphs 1.1 and 1.2 of the CCCM, however that paragraphs 1.5-1.6 (enhanced scheme) and 1.7 (examples of heads of cost) are not currently included. The Working Group discussed and agreed that there is no requirement for paragraphs 1.5-1.7 to be included.
- Glossary of Terms – Minimum TRDR Repayment – the Working Group believe this should reference regulation 7(5)(a) rather than regulation 9. A comment was added to the Legal Text and this will be shared with Gowing.
- Glossary of Terms – TRDR Contributor – the Working Group agreed with the three comments from Gowlings.
- Glossary of Terms – TRDR Speculative Developments – Gowing’s comment noted that this reflects the current CCCM definition. The Working Group agreed that this is correct due to DCP 407 being out for consultation.

- 3.2 The Secretariat agreed to provide this feedback to Gus, and to request a clean version of the Legal Text.

15/02: Secretariat to provide Working Group feedback on the Legal Text and to request a clean version.

- 3.3 Post meeting notes – the clean, updated version of the legal text can be found as **Attachment 4**.

4. Review of DCP 392 Draft Change Report

- 4.1 The Working Group reviewed the Change Report. An updated version can be found as **Attachment 5**. A summary of discussions are below.

- Change Proposal Timeline – the Working Group agreed that they need to consider how much time will be required for Parties to implement the change if approved. Members agreed to discuss this in detail at the next meeting.

- Section 1.8 – regarding action 14/02, to add in text to refer to the pending updates to the ECCR, the Chair advised that the footnote explains that this refers to the 2017 ECCR version. The Working Group agreed that no changes needed to be made.
- Section 3.9 - the Working Group agreed the update reflecting the current position.
- Section 4.33 - the Working Group agreed the update.
- Sections 6.6 to 6.10 – the Working Group reviewed the RFI responses and agreed for this section to be added to the Change Report summarising the responses and including the Working Groups conclusions.
- Section 6.18 – the Working Group agreed to replace ‘the value’ with ‘the remaining value, after the deduction of administrative expenses (currently £300)’ to add clarity.
- Section 6.22 - the Working Group agreed for the table of definitions to be formatted and included as an attachment due to it’s size.
- Section 7 – Relevant Objectives – the Chair noted that currently the comments within this section are that of the Proposer and need to be amended to reflect the Working Group view. Members agreed to discuss this in detail at the next meeting.
- Section 8.3 - the Working Group agreed to combine 8.3 and 8.4.
- Section 8.7 - the Working Group agreed with the addition of a paragraph to reference the Ofgem decision document.
- Section 9 – as previously discussed, members agreed to discuss the implementation in detail at the next meeting.
- Consideration of Wider Industry Impacts – members agreed to amend this section to read ‘The Working Group did not identify any additional wider industry impacts other than those already highlighted in other areas of the Change Report.’

15/03: Secretariat to schedule next Working Group to be held on Monday 05 September between 10am and 12pm, to discuss implementation and the general objectives.

5. Next Steps & Work Plan

5.1 The Working Group discussed the next steps, and the following items were captured:

- Secretariat to provide Working Group feedback on the Legal Text to Gowling and request a clean copy.
- Secretariat to update the Change Report as per discussions.
- Secretariat to schedule next meeting for members to discuss implementation and the general objectives.

6. Any Other Business

- 6.1 The Chair asked the group whether there were any other items of business to discuss.
- 6.2 PT advised that he had received an email seeking clarification on whether DCP 392 would have an impact on the open networks interactivity. PT agreed to discuss this and provide an update to the group at the next meeting.

15/04: PT to report back at the next meeting, regarding email received concerning DCP 392 impacts on the open networks interactivity.

- 6.3 There were no other items raised.

7. Date of Next Meeting

- 7.1 The date of the next meeting has been scheduled for Monday 05 September between 10am and 12pm.

8. Attachments

- Attachment 1 - DCP 392 Working Group Meeting 14 - Draft Minutes v2.0
- Attachment 2 - DCP 392 RFI 03 - Collated Responses
- Attachment 3 - DCP 392 Legal Text – 23 August 2022 – WG Feedback
- Attachment 4 - DCP 392 Legal Text – 1 September 2022 - Clean
- Attachment 5 - DCP 392 Draft Change Report 240822

APPENDIX A

New and Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
15/01	Secretariat to update the Working Group 14 Minutes, to include a missing action regarding reviewing the RFI responses and to clarify that action 14/06 was taken at Working Group meeting 13.	Secretariat	New action. <i>Updated version included as Attachment 1.</i>
15/02	Secretariat to provide Working Group feedback on the Legal Text and to request a clean version.	Secretariat	New action.
15/03	Secretariat to schedule next Working Group to be held on Monday 05 September between 10am and 12pm, to discuss implementation and the general objectives.	Secretariat	New action.
15/04	PT to report back at the next meeting, regarding email received concerning DCP 392 impacts on the open networks interactivity.	Secretariat	New action.

Closed Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
13/02	The Secretariat to contact Thomas Cadge and discuss his comments around required capacity. These comments to be share with Gus Wood at Gowlings.	Secretariat	Action closed. <i>The Chair confirmed that he had discussed this with TC and that the comments had been passed to Gowlings.</i>
14/01	Secretariat to review and update actions from last Working Group session as per ED's comments	Secretariat	Action closed.

			<i>Complete. Updated version of the Working Group 14 minutes can be found as Attachment 1.</i>
14/02	AG and DT to speak with JL re the comments around adding in text to refer to the pending updates to the ECCR	Secretariat	Action closed. <i>This was discussed under the review of the Change Report.</i>
14/03	GW to update legal text based on Working Groups feedback	Secretariat	Action closed. <i>Complete.</i>
14/04	AG and DT to update JL and HP with outcome of Working Groups discussion and changes to legal text and change report	Secretariat	Action closed. <i>Complete.</i>
14/05	Secretariat to send out Doodle poll to agree on time and date for the next Working Group session.	Secretariat	Action closed. <i>Complete.</i>
14/06	Secretariat to liaise with the Working Group for DCP 407 and share with this Working Group the speculative development definition.	Secretariat	Action closed. <i>The Chair confirmed that currently, DCP 407 is looking to retain the current definition and to add a scoring system. The Chair noted that he had discussed the matter with Gowlings who advised that the current definition should be used and that a future change could be raised in future if needed.</i>
14/07	Working Group to review and discuss responses to the RFI at the next meeting.	Secretariat	Action closed. <i>This was discussed under the Change Report, and a section was added summarising the responses and the Working Group conclusions. RFI responses can be found as Attachment 2.</i>

